This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. DOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/044,799	01/10/2002	Jun Seo	8750-018	8382	
7	590 05/09/2003				
MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.			. EXAMINER		
1030 SW Morrison Street Portland, OR 97205		,	LEE, GRANVILL D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2825		

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.		Applicant(s)	/			
4		10/044,799		SEO ET AL.				
i Offic	e Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Granvill D Lee, J		2825				
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover	r sneet with the c	orrespondence address	5			
A SHORTENE THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply wit - Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. THS from the mailing date of this communication. by specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoly is specified above, the maximum statutory period hin the set or extended period for reply will, by statuth by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, howe ply within the statutory min if will apply and will expire te, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from no become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on 10	January 2002 .						
2a)☐ This ac	tion is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-f	nal.					
3) Since the	nis application is in condition for allown accordance with the practice unde	vance except for for	ormal matters, pr	osecution as to the me	erits is			
Disposition of Cla		i Ex parte Quayle,	1900 C.D. 11, 4	33 0.0. 213.				
4) Claim(s)	1-14 is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_6)⊠ Claim(s)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-14</u> is/are rejected.							
•—	4 is/are objected to.							
	are subject to restriction and	or election require	ment.					
Application Pape								
<i>,</i> —	ification is objected to by the Examin		ted to but he Eve	minor				
	ing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc nt may not request that any objection to t							
	-							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
·	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•	edgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
,	☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
· — ·								
	The second secon							
_	opies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E ttached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		је			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) 🔲 The	translation of the foreign language p dgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional applicat	ion has been red	eived.				
Attachment(s)								
	nces Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15				

15.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,799

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US Pat. 6,355,547) in view Doan (US Pat. 6,303, 958).

In view of these claims (esp. clm. 1), Lee et al. makes a self-aligned contact (SAC) for a device by forming a gate stack (Fig. 5a #208) on a semiconductor substrate, a conformal dielectric layer (#209) over the gate stack, applying an etch-stop material layer (#210) over the conformal dielectric layer, and then eventually etching back the conformal dielectric layer to form a gate spacer (Fig. 9). However, Lee et al. fails to remove the upper portion of the etch-stop material to expose the conformal dielectric layer and then etching the conformal dielectric layer.

Doan et al. in a process of making a capacitor device, shows the removal of a portion of the etch-stop material (Fig. 7 #120 & 121) to have remaining the exposed portion of the dielectric layer, which it too is etched away (Fig. 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 10/044,799

Art Unit: 2825

art at the time of the invention to modify Lee et al. with that of Doan in order to achieve a better etch result having selected materials that now lend themselves to preferred fluorine etches (like CF4, CHF3, and HBr) (Col. 7 line 55-Col. 8 line 10).

In view of claims 5-6, Lee et al. uses a organic photoresist material (Fig. 5a #210) to form an etch-stop.

In continued view of claim 7, Doan uses a mixture of fluorine etch, like CF4 or CHF3 and HBr (Col. 8 lines 1-9).

In view of claim 8, Lee et al. uses the selectivity of the upper photoresist layer (#220) to etch the dielectric layer.

In view of claim 9, Lee et al. uses a thickness of 3500-5000 angstroms for the layer #212 and #240.

Claims 2-3 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US Pat. 6,355,547) in view Doan (US Pat. 6,303, 958) in further view of Hsieh et al. (US Pat Pub. 2001/0015455).

In view of these claims (esp. clm. 10), Lee et al. makes a self-aligned contact (SAC) for a device by forming a gate stack (Fig. 5a #208) on a semiconductor substrate, a conformal dielectric layer (#209) over the gate stack, applying an etch-stop material layer (#210) over the conformal dielectric layer, and then eventually etching back the conformal dielectric layer to form a

Application/Control Number: 10/044,799

Art Unit: 2825

gate spacer (Fig. 9). Doan et al. in a process of making a capacitor device, shows the removal of a portion of the etch-stop material (Fig. 7 #120 & 121) to have remaining the exposed portion of the dielectric layer, which it too is etched away. However, both inventors fail to use a spacer that is lower than the hard mask. Hsieh et al. uses a hard mask (Fig. 1 #22) substantially above the spacer (sp).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Lee et al. and Doan with that of Hsieh et al. for control purposes. Whereas, normally utilized spacers act to both protect the gate against etching and for doping purposes, lower spacers now need not protect the gate if a hard mask is used (as by Hsieh et al.), but now act to control the doping of the electrodes (para. 0038).

In view of claim 2, Hsieh et al. creates a gate stack using gate oxide which is patterned (Fig. 1 #14), an electrode (#90-#93) and a hard mask (#22).

In continuing view of claim 3, Hsieh et al. uses a gate spacer lower than the hard mask.

In view of claim 13, Lee et al. forms a SAC hole adjacent to the gate stacks (Fig. 7a).

In view of claim 14, Lee et al. deposits a conductive layer within the hole and then planarizing the layer to form a contact pad (Abstr.).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications for the examiner should be directed to Granvill Lee whose telephone number is (703) 306-5865. The examiner can be normally reached on Monday thru Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are not successful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (703) 308-1323. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to status or otherwise should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Examiner Granvill Lee Art Unit 2825

Gl

4/18/03

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800